

1201 16th St., N.W. | Washington, DC 20036 | Phone: (202) 833-4000

Lily Eskelsen García President

Rebecca S. Pringle *Vice President* 

Princess R. Moss Secretary-Treasurer

John C. Stocks *Executive Director* 

May 6, 2019

United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

## Dear Senator:

On behalf of our three million members and the 50 million students they serve, we strongly urge you to VOTE NO on Michael Park's nomination to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Votes associated with this issue may be included in NEA's Report Card for the 116th Congress.

On May 17, 1954, a unanimous Supreme Court held in *Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka* that racial discrimination by the government violates the Constitution. The words of that decision, a watershed in our nation's history, ring as true today as they did 65 years ago, when *Brown* was decided:

Today, education is perhaps the most important function of state and local governments. Compulsory school attendance laws and the great expenditures for education both demonstrate our recognition of the importance of education to our democratic society. It is required in the performance of our most basic public responsibilities, even service in the armed forces. It is the very foundation of good citizenship. Today it is a principal instrument in awakening the child to cultural values, in preparing him for later professional training, and in helping him to adjust normally to his environment. In these days, it is doubtful that any child may reasonably be expected to succeed in life if he is denied the opportunity of an education. Such an opportunity, where the state has undertaken to provide it, is a right which must be made available to all on equal terms.

We come then to the question presented: does segregation of children in public schools solely on the basis of race, even though the physical facilities and other "tangible" factors may be equal, deprive the children of the minority group of equal educational opportunities? We believe that it does.

Before *Brown*, Jim Crow, *de jure* racial segregation, and racially segregated and unequal schools were the norm—and considered constitutional. The *Brown* decision helped our nation turn the corner on that shameful history. While much more must be done to fulfill the promise of *Brown*, the decision itself is fundamental to our nation's progress.

Yet, when asked during the confirmation process whether *Brown* was rightly decided, Mr. Park refused to answer directly. He said *Brown* is binding precedent, but "it would be

## inappropriate to opine on whether $Brown \dots$ was correctly decided." This response alone is disqualifying.

Other recent nominees, including Supreme Court justices, praised the decision and did not believe it was inappropriate to do so:

- Asked during his confirmation hearing whether *Brown* was rightly decided, Chief Justice Roberts unequivocally responded, "I do."
- Justice Thomas spoke of the awe of being appointed to the court that decided *Brown* and said it "changed my life and changed the South . . . certainly even before I knew all of the legal ramifications."
- Justice Alito said "certainly" when asked whether he supported *Brown*.
- Justice Kagan testified, "I hope and I know that the principles of *Brown v. Board* are still relevant today. . . . The idea of equality under law is a fundamental American constitutional value."
- Justice Gorsuch described *Brown* as a "great and important decision."
- Justice Kavanaugh called *Brown* "inspirational" and "the single greatest moment in Supreme Court history."
- Now-retired Justice Kennedy testified, "I think *Brown v. Board of Education* was right when it was decided, and I think it would have been right if it had been decided 80 years before. I think *Plessy v. Ferguson* was wrong on the day it was decided."

The four words engraved on the facade of the United States Supreme Court are the bedrock of our system: "Equal justice under law." A nominee to the federal bench who does not pledge fidelity to those words cannot be confirmed.

Mr. Park's record only deepens our concerns about his commitment to equality. Among other things, he has spent his legal career opposing racial diversity in our schools and fighting against worker safety and basic wage protections for vulnerable workers. Most recently, he argued against vital Affordable Care Act protections, even though the loss of such protections would harm millions of people with preexisting conditions and leave millions more without access to coverage. He also argued for inclusion of a citizenship question on the decennial census, even though the Census Bureau's own experts acknowledge such a question would result in drastic undercounts in communities of color.

For all these reasons, we strongly urge you to VOTE NO on Mr. Park's nomination.

Sincerely,

Marc Egan

Director of Government Relations National Education Association

Manitzan